Eden Area Watershed



Malinda Ford GIS Manager Piedmont Triad Regional Council January 23, 2013

Current/Previous Projects



Conservation/Stress

- Conservation Analysis selects projects that:
 - Will protect ecosystem services
 - We don't want to lose
 - Act as good demonstrations in the watershed

• Stress Analysis selects projects that:

- Highlight areas we want to improve
- Aim to recover function and value to the watershed

Previous Projects:

Abbotts Creek

Conservation Assessment

Point System for Parcel Conservation Assessment and Ranking							
Criteria	Data Source	Factors	Possible Points	Weight			
Low Impervious Surface Cover	2001 NLCD	0-4%	3	1			
		5-9%	2				
		10-19%	1				
High Forest Cover	2001 NLCD	> 50%	1	1			
1st & 2nd Order Streams	NC CGIA	Within 50 foot buffer	3	+ ,			
		Within 100 toot buffer	1	'			
Large Parcel Size	Davidson County	> 50 gcroc	3	2			
		20-49 gcres	2				
		10-19 gcres	1				
	2011 County Data (Updated)	Forest, Recreation	1	2			
Low Impact Land Use		Agriculture, SFR (Rural Res. >= 5 acres), Vacant, VAD	1	1			
Publically Owned Land & Managed Conservation Lands	2011 County Data	City, County, or State	1	2			
Significant Natural Heritage Area & Natural Heritage Element Occurrences*	DENR (Oct 2010)	4 points - any SNHA	6	1			
		3 points - any NHEO S1 or S2 rank that is not a SNHA	5				
		2 points - any NHEO S3 or S4 rank that is not a SNHA	4				
		1 point - floodzones of the Greensboro Burrowing Crayfish combined areas (even though "very low" spatial accuracy)	3				
		0 points - all other "very low" spatial accuracy or "historic" species	2				
		**overlapping polygons were summed; values range from 0 to 6	1				
Landscape Habitat Indicator Guilds	NHP		1	1			
Parcels with Lake/River Access	PTCOG; Davidson County	Existing Public	2	1			
		Existing Private or Proposed Public	1	'			
Wetlands	NWI		1	1			
Hydric Soils Erodibility (K factor)	ssurgo ssurgo	All Hydric	2	1			
		Partially Hydric	1	\vdash			
		0.40-0.49	2	1			
500 Yaar Eleadalain	NC Flood Map	0.24-0.39	1	1			
				<u> </u>			
Steep Slopes	USGS 1/9 Arc Second DEM	> 15% Gradient	1	1			
Conservation BMP Locations	PTCOG Field Data	Point	2				
		0.25 mile buffer	1				
Proposed Greenways	PTCOG; Davidson County	Primary	2	1			
		Secondary	1	+			
Bike Paths	PTCOG; Davidson County	0.25 mile buffer	1				
		Total Possible Points	39	1			

Previous Projects:

Abbotts Creek

Criteria

Stress

Assessment

> 20% 3 10-19% 2 High Impervious Surface Cover 2001 NLCD 1 5-9% 1 Low Forest Cover 2001 NLCD <50% 1 1 Within 50 foot buffer 3 1st & 2nd Order Streams NC CGIA Within 100 foot buffer 2 1 Within 330 foot buffer 1 > 20 acres 3 10-20 acres 2 Large Parcel Size Davidson County 2 5-10 acres 1 Commercial, Industrial 1 2 High Impact Land Use 2011 County Data (Updated) Government, Institutional, MFR, Office, Utilities 1 1 2011 County Data 2 Publically Owned Land City, County, or State 1 NWI 1 1 Wetlands All Hydric 2 Hydric Soils SSURGO 1 Partially Hydric 1 0.40-0.49 2 Erodibility (K factor) SSURGO 1 0.24-0.39 1 NC Flood Map 500 Year Floodplain 1 1 >15% Gradient 1 1 Steep Slopes USGS 1/9 Arc Second DEM Point 2 Stress BMP Locations PTCOG Field Data 1 0.25 mile buffer 1 Animal Operation Permits NC CGIA 1 1 High Potential for Future Growth 0 - 18 0.25 **Total Possible Points** 32.5

Point System for Parcel Stressor Assessment and Ranking

Factors

Data Source

Possible Points Weight

Previous Projects:

Abbotts Creek

Future Growth Model (Used in Stress Assessment)

	Point System for Future Growth Layer				
Criteria	Data Source	Factors	Possible Points	Weigh	
Municipal Boundaries	Davidson County		1	1	
ETJ Boundaries	Davidson County		1	2	
Sewer (Outside City)	City GIS website (selected parcels that intersected sewer lines outside city)		1	3	
Water (Outside City)	City GIS website (selected parcels that intersected water lines outside city)		1	2	
Future Sewer	NC CGIA		1	2	
Future Water	NC CGIA		1	1	
6 - 5 Lexington County and City of 1 - 1 - **T	6 - Expressway/Freeway - Needs Improvement (0.25 Mile Buffer)	12.14	-		
	5 -Expressway/Freeway- Existing (0.25 Mile Buffer)	13 - 10	5	1	
	4 - Boulevard/Major Thoroughfare - Needs Improvement (0.25 Mile Buffer)	10 12	4		
	3 - Boulevard/Major Thoroughfare- Existing (0.25 Mile Buffer)	10 - 12			
	2 - Minor Thoroughfare - Needs Improvement (0.10 Mile Buffer)	7 - 9	3		
	1 - Minor Thoroughfare - Existing (0.10 Mile Buffer)	4 - 6	2		
	**The points from overlapping road buffer areas were summed (values ranged from 0 to 16)	1 - 3	1		
Population Density (Persons/Sq Mi) 20	2010 Group	11 - 298	1	- 1	
		298 - 789	2		
	2010 Census	789 - 1,871	3		
		1,871 - 23,525	4		
Population Density Change 2000	2000 & 2010 Census	1 - 3	1	1	
		12 - 55	2		
		71 - 109	3		
Vacant Household Density (Vacant HH/Sq Mi)		1-16	1	- 1	
	2010 Census	16-83	2		
		83-248	3		
		248-4,253	4		
		Total Possible Points	27		



Raster

Aerial – Ground Cover

Original Raster

Reclassified Raster





Vector

Original Vector Data

Conversion to Raster

Reclassified Raster









Vector

Aerial – Ground Cover

Original Census Blocks

Reclassified Raster













Output Example



Overlay HUC Boundaries





Zonal Statistics



Watershed Boundary



12-Digit HUCs



Subwatersheds (Identified by PTRC using ArcHydro catchments)



Field Work Assessment



Catchments (Identified by ArcHydro)



Catchments (NHDPlus)



Subwatershed 8



Parcel Level



Data to incorporate: City Projects



Data to incorporate: PLC Protection Plan



Data to incorporate: County BMPs



Data to incorporate: County approach to unfavorable conditions





Data to incorporate: County approach to unfavorable conditions

- Steep Slopes (>20%)
- Hydric Soils
- Shallow Depth-to-rock (bedrock) content)
- High shrink-swell potential
- Slow permeability
- Flood Hazards (100-year)
- Watershed critical areas
- Occupied areas (parcels > 2 acres with a structure of value)

Data to incorporate: County approach to unfavorable conditions

- Steep Slopes (>15%)
- Hydric Soils
- Shallow Depth-to-rock (bedrock) content)
- High shrink-swell potential
- Slow permeability
- Flood Hazards (**500**-year)
- Watershed critical areas
- Occupied areas (parcels > 2 acres with a structure of value)

Erodible soils

• Future Growth Input layers

Project Atlas Example





Recommended Actions:

- Immediately contact landowner to determine willingness to retrofit site for improved stormwater management (IC = 42%)
 - Develop a site-specific retrofit plan in concert with City of Lexington, NCSU B&AE staff, and Stormwater SMART
 - Currently no stormwater management on-site at all
 - $\circ\,$ Include green roofs, depressed parking islands, enhanced tree cover, and constructed wetland
 - Determine financial value of ecosystem services in on-site forest, especially to absorb emission pollutants of bus fleet
- Integrate stormwater plan with site needs, including bus fleet maintenance, school curricula needs, and Safe Routes to Schools

Project 03A: City Lake



Recommended Actions:

- Place a conservation/recreation easement on 204-acre City Lake property, create City Lake recreational plan, and invest in site as recreational/greenway feature using PART-F, CWMTF, and Healthy Communities monies
- Place conservation/recreation easement on the 115-acre 500-yr floodplain along Abbotts Creek
- Work with WRC, Davidson Co. TRIP, and a certified forester to determine a forestry management or recreation plan for priority parcels
 - Determine a reforestation plan for C-08 that serves landowner and watershed needs

We need your input....

- How to add new data (PLC, County, etc)
- Any other new data?
- How to evaluate differences in NC and VA data
- How to weight data
- Project Atlas deliverable

Contact Us

PIEDMONT TRIAD REGIONAL COUNCIL 336.294.4950

CY STOBER cstober@ptrc.org **JOY FIELDS** jfields@ptrc.org

MALINDA FORD mford@ptrc.org ELIZABETH JERNIGAN ejernigan@ptrc.org