Smith River and Tributaries, South Mayo River and North Mayo River Water Quality Meeting

August 8, 2007
Henry County Administration Building

DEQ
VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Meeting Overview:

- Presentations
  - Mary Dail DEQ
  - Drew Miller DEQ
  - Raed El Farhan Louis Berger
- *General* Question & Answer session
- Posters and Questions!

Why Are We Here?

- Learn about water quality in the Smith River and a few tributaries, South Mayo River and North Mayo River

- Explain efforts that the State is undertaking to improve and protect water quality

Water Quality in the Smith River, tributaries, North and South Mayo Rivers

- Water Quality Problems exist on several streams and rivers in Henry, Patrick, and Franklin Counties
  - 2 Main problems:
    - Water Data found elevated levels of **Bacteria**: Smith River, Marrowbone Creek, Leatherwood Creek, Blackberry Creek, North and South Mayo Rivers
    - Biomonitoring data showed that water quality does not support a healthy Aquatic Insect community – Cause to be determined

Study Area

Blackberry Creek
VAW-L52R-02

Smith River
VAW-L53R-01 (Bacteria)
VAW-L54R-01 (Bacteria + Benthic)

Leatherwood Creek
VAW-L56R-01

North Mayo River
VAW-L46R-01

Sandy Creek
VAC-L59R-01

Double Creek
VAC-L62R-03

Dan River
VAC-L60R-01

Fall Creek
VAC-L61R-01

Byrds Branch
VAC-L62R-04

Martinsville

Sandy River
VAC-L58R-01

Danville

South Mayo River
VAW-L45R-01

Marrowbone Creek
VAW-L55R-01

Meeting 8/9/07
Danville CC 6:30 p.m.

Focus area of tonight's meeting
(Henry, Franklin, and Patrick Counties)

Legend

Smith River Watershed		Impaired Segments	
Banister River Watershed*		Streams	
Dan River Watershed		Major Rivers	
Counties		Major Waterbodies	
State Boundary			

0 2.5 5 10 15 20 Miles

Sources: USGS, VADEQ, ESRI
Projection: NAD 1983 State Plane Virginia N+S

THE Louis Berger Group, INC.

*Outside study area

MAP INDEX

Dan River Watershed

Bacteria Impairments

What does impaired mean?

- More than 10.5% of samples collected exceeded State standards for bacteria

What is the standard?

- No more than 235 E.Coli colonies per 100ml water (~1/2 cup)
- Bacteria (like E.Coli) are found in the intestines of warm-blooded animals

Why Are High Bacteria Levels Bad?

- Presence of E.Coli indicates that other disease causing bacteria may be present

Human Health Concern
- Chance of gastrointestinal illness or infection during primary contact (e.g., water in mouth, nose, eyes, open wounds)

Other Concerns
- Livestock health and weight gain

Water Quality Monitoring Program

- Capture ambient conditions in streams & lakes

- Samples are collected from bridges or other public access points

Bacteria Levels

Stream Name	Miles	Impairment	Exceedence Rate**
Blackberry Creek	14.82	Bacteria	15%
	6.95	Bacteria	15%
Smith River	13.77	Bacteria	17% & 17%
South Mayo River	10.86	Bacteria	12.5%
Leatherwood Creek	8.34	Bacteria	13%
Marrowbone Creek	4.33	Bacteria	14%
North Mayo River	22.46	Bacteria	12% & 33%

* Ratio of Total # of Violations to Total # of Measurements

# How Do We Tackle These Water Quality Problems?

- DEQ works with a Consultant – Louis Berger Group
- Public Participation through Public Meetings
- DEQ's Lynchburg office is working concurrently to address bacteria problems in the Dan River
- 2 Reports
  - Bacteria TMDL Report for the entire Dan River watershed (including the Dan, the Smith & tributaries, South Mayo, and North Mayo)
  - Benthic TMDL Report for the Smith River watershed

What Happens When a Stream is Impaired?

*The State begins a formal study to clean up that water body (a TMDL)*

Total **M**aximum **D**aily **L**oad

We are here

Study

Implementation Plan
- Identifies permit controls or best management practices needed to make necessary pollutant reductions

Monitoring

Implementation

Polluted
- Identifies sources of pollution
- Calculates amounts from each source
- Estimates necessary pollutant reductions

Clean

Water quality standards met

Water quality standards not met

The Process

What are the Study Goals?

- Identify all sources of fecal bacteria
- Quantify amounts from each source
- Estimate reductions necessary to meet water quality standards

Virginia's Biological Monitoring Program

The DEQ has responsibility for Monitoring Water Quality in Virginia

- Chemistry - Toxics, Nutrients

- Physical - Temperature, Oxygen, pH

- **Biology - Fish, Benthic Macroinvertebrates**

Why?

The Objective of the Clean Water Act is to:

"*restore and maintain the chemical, physical, and <u>biological</u> integrity of the Nation's waters.*"

Virginia's General Standard => "*all state waters shall be free from substances... which are harmful to aquatic life*"

Public Perceptions About Biological Monitoring and Ecosystem Protection

Aquatic invertebrates are good indicators of stream health

- Respond to environmental changes (stress) predictably and quickly

- Response to short-term pollution events is evident for long periods of time (think of them as the "memory" or Black Box of the stream)

- Widespread distributions

- Relatively easy to collect and identify

Pollution Intolerant Invertebrates

Mayfly

Stonefly

Caddisfly

Water Penny

Riffle Beetle

Moderately Pollution Tolerant Invertebrates

Crayfish

Dragonfly

Netspinning Caddisfly

Aquatic Sowbug

Cranefly

Highly Pollution Tolerant Invertebrates

Midge Larvae

Segmented Worm

Pouch Snail

Leech

Flatworm

NOV 26 2001

Habitat assessments detect potential stressors to the benthic community

# Smith River Listed Segment

- Upstream Limit: Martinsville Dam

- Downstream Limit: Confluence of the Smith River and Turkey Pen Branch

- Total Length Approx. 14 Miles

Biological Community Assessment (2000-2006)

Biological Community Assessment (2000-2006)

Average %Mayflies

Rivermile
Upstream → Downstream

Average %Filterers

Rivermile
Upstream → Downstream

Legend: 33 31 26 22 19 15

Habitat Assessment (2000-2006)

Average Total Habitat Scores

Rivermile
Upstream → Downstream

Average Sediment Scores

Rivermile
Upstream → Downstream

Summary

- **A tool for detecting environmental impacts that are not typically detected by standard chemical monitoring networks**

- **Benthic community and habitat surveys detect impacts in the Smith River**

- **Purpose of the study is find the cause (stressor) of the impacts**

- **Potentially impacted by urban runoff, sediment, riparian zone loss, nutrients, and organic inputs**

Bacteria TMDL Study Development

Louis Berger Group

Bacteria Levels

Stream Name	Miles	Impairment	Exceedence Rate**
Blackberry Creek	**14.82**	**Bacteria**	**15%**
	6.95	**Bacteria**	**15%**
Smith River	**13.77**	**Bacteria**	**17% & 17%**
South Mayo River	**10.86**	**Bacteria**	**12.5%**
Leatherwood Creek	**8.34**	**Bacteria**	**13%**
Marrowbone Creek	**4.33**	**Bacteria**	**14%**
North Mayo River	**22.46**	**Bacteria**	**12% & 33%**

* * Ratio of Total # of Violations to Total # of Measurements

Bacteria TMDL Development

Source identification and characterization

↓

Source Loading → **Smith River Basin Impaired Segments** → **Water Quality Response?** *Is the water quality standard being met under these loading Conditions?*

Runoff from Land Areas

NO

YES

Bacteria TMDL Completed

Bacteria Sources Assessment

Addresses the following issues related to bacteria production:

- Bacteria loading from <u>Human Sources</u>
  - Straight pipes
  - Septic systems
  - Biosolids

- Bacteria loading from <u>Livestock</u>
  - Livestock inventory
  - Livestock grazing and stream access
  - Confined animal facilities
  - Manure management

- Bacteria loading from <u>Wildlife</u>
  - Wildlife Inventories

- Bacteria loading from <u>Pets</u>
  - Pet Inventories

Humans

2004 Census Data Summary for the Smith River Watershed	
Smith River Watershed Human Population	**69,170**

Estimates of the Number of Septic Systems and Straight Pipes					
Category	**# Failing Systems**	**# People per Household**	**People Served**	**Flow (gal/day)**	**Daily Load (#cfu/day)**
Septic Systems	**31**	**2.38**	**74**	**5,534**	**55,335,000**
Straight Pipe	**58**	**2.38**	**138**	**10,353**	**1.0767E+10**

Pets: Cats & Dogs

| Pet Estimates within Smith River Watershed	
Dogs	Cats
15,737	*17,331*

Livestock Estimates

Livestock numbers are based on the 2002 US Agricultural Census data, the chicken numbers are based on permit information provided by VADEQ, and the horse numbers were based on the 2001 VA Agricultural Statistics Equine report.

Wildlife Estimates

Estimates are based on NLCD 2001 land use data and distribution estimates from DGIF

Benthic TMDL Development

Louis Berger Group

TMDL Process for Benthic Impairment

Benthic Stressor Identification

- What pollutant(s) is causing the impairment of the benthic community?

- Common stressors include:
  - Dissolved Oxygen
  - Nutrients
  - pH
  - Temperature
  - Sediment
  - Toxics

- Stressors specific to the Smith River include:
  - Urban Runoff
  - Flow Modification (Dam Release)

# Data Used in Stressor Identification

Environmental Data:

1. Instream Water Quality Data
2. Biological and Habitat Assessment Data
3. Acute and Chronic Toxicity Testing
4. Field notes and observations
5. Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMR), Nutrient Monitoring Reports (NMR), WET toxicity testing
6. Stream flow

Land Use in the Smith River Watershed

- Watershed Area
  - **336,929 acres**
- Dominant land uses
  - **76% Forested**
  - **11% Agriculture**
  - **8% Developed**
  - **3%Grassland/ Shrub**
  - **1% Water/Wetlands**

Data is from VA DEQ

Smith River

Permitted Facilities in the Watershed

- 13 Active Permits:
  - **7 permits issued to industrial facilities**
  - **6 permits issued to municipal facilities**

Future Goals

- Finalize estimates of human, pet, livestock and wildlife sources
- Identify stressor(s) to Benthic Community
- Meet with Steering Committee
- Solicit input from public on the information presented tonight
  - Comment period ends September 10th!

What is the Study Timeline?

1st Public Meeting

Develop computer model to simulate stream flow and bacteria

Use model to estimate necessary load reductions

Final Public Meeting

August	September	November	December	January

Gather data (climate, land use, soils, population, animal numbers, flow, etc.)

Test computer model

Draft Study Report available for public comment

Final Report submitted to EPA

Study Contacts

Mary R. Dail
Regional TMDL Coordinator
Department of Environmental Quality
3019 Peters Creek Road
Roanoke, VA 24019
phone: 540-562-6715
fax: 540-562-6725
mrdail@deq.virginia.gov

Reports/presentations available at:
www.deq.virginia.gov/tmdl/mtgppt.html

The Louis Berger Group, Inc.
Raed M. EL-Farhan
(202) 331-7775
relfarhan@louisberger.com